1 A. No document or journal or 2 deposition, no. 3 Q. Any other information that's not a 4 document, journal or deposition? 5 Yes, my 40 years of human resources My training, education and the 6 experience. 7 certification tests I have taken for senior professional in human resources. 8 9 Q. Did you rely on any communications 10 with counsel in forming your opinion? A. 11 Yes. 12 Q. What communications did you rely 13 on? 14 A. They sent me an e-mail specifying 15 the three questions they wanted me to ask 16 that formed the basis of my forming my 17 opinions. 18 Q. That they wanted you to answer? 19 A. That they wanted me to -- well, 20 that they wanted me to ask and answer. Yes. 21 Q. Any other communications that you 22 relied on in forming your opinions from 23 counsel? A. 24 Yes. 25 Q. What communication?

> GregoryEdwards, LLC | Worldwide Court Reporting GregoryEdwards.com | 866-4Team GE

	<u>'</u>	ago 101
1	A. No.	
2	Q. Did you personally prepare the	
3	expert report that you submitted in this	
4	matter?	
5	A. I did.	
6	Q. Did you have assistance from anyone	
7	else in preparing the report?	
8	A. I did.	
9	Q. And who was that?	
10	A. On the last day of the deadline for	
11	the report my wife assisted me with some	
12	typing but did none of the analysis and	
13	contributed no words to it.	
14	Q. When you say she assisted you with	
15	the typing, was she typing up notes that you	
16	had?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. Do you still have those notes?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Did anyone else assist you in	ļ
21	preparing the report?	
22	A. No.	
23	Q. What expertise are you claiming to	
24	provide in this matter?	
25	A. The expertise based on knowledge,	
1		

experience and training in human resources matters and particularly performance reviews — performance review processes, selection and promotion processes. Q. Anything else?	Page	
natters and particularly performance reviews performance review processes, selection and promotion processes.		
reviews performance review processes, selection and promotion processes.		
selection and promotion processes.		
Q. Anything else?		
4 ,,		
A. Nothing I can think of right now.		
Q. In your report on page 6 you refer		
to yourself as a statistician.		
A. Yes.		
Q. What does that mean?		
A. It means I have been trained and		
I have been trained in some basic and some		
more advanced statistics and know how they		
apply in the very narrow area of equal		
opportunity and employment.		
Q. Are you a statistical expert?		
MR. MURPHY: Objection.		
You can answer.		
A. I am not being proffered as a I		
am not putting myself forward as a		
statistical expert in this case and offer no		
statistical analyses.		
BY MS. PHILION:		
Q. If you could flip to page 1 of your		
expert report, please. And I am focused on		
	Q. In your report on page 6 you refer to yourself as a statistician. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean? A. It means I have been trained and — I have been trained in some basic and some more advanced statistics and know how they apply in the very narrow area of equal approximately and employment. Q. Are you a statistical expert? MR. MURPHY: Objection. You can answer. A. I am not being proffered as a —— I am not putting myself forward as a statistical expert in this case and offer no statistical analyses. BY MS. PHILION:	Q. In your report on page 6 you refer to yourself as a statistician. A. Yes. Q. What does that mean? A. It means I have been trained and — I have been trained in some basic and some more advanced statistics and know how they apply in the very narrow area of equal approximately and employment. Q. Are you a statistical expert? MR. MURPHY: Objection. You can answer. A. I am not being proffered as a — I am not putting myself forward as a statistical expert in this case and offer no statistical analyses. BY MS. PHILION: Q. If you could flip to page 1 of your

Page 128

1 bottom page of that document, and it might 2 be, typically, 100 to 120 pages long. 3 would go to the last page and look for an 4 unusual phrase or the Bates number. I would 5 go to the top of the document and search for that thing, and if it went all the way to the 6 7 end and found that thing, only thing would I 8 assume it was word searchable. Then I would 9 put the UER on the left of my screen and the 10 yes, the year-end review, created at Major 11 League headquarters, on the right side of my 12 screen. And I would start at the first 13 performance comments in the year-end review 14 and search the section heading, the 15 performance component heading for every UER on the left side of the screen. 16 17 Q. How did you determine if a comment 18 in a performance evaluation was positive, 19 negative or neutral? I didn't. I didn't determine 20 A. 21 whether anything was neutral. I determined 22 they were positive using the phrases that I 23 have described. I decided they were neutral if it said something that I think the 24 25 employee -- that I took and I think any

Page 129

1 employee would take to be neutral. 2 a bad game; you were lazy; you give a bad 3 impression; your performance in this area is 4 deteriorating." It was -- it was not 5 particularly difficult to find the negatives in the negative comments. 6 7 So your evaluation was based on 8 what in your view was a positive or negative 9 word --10 MR. MURPHY: Objection. BY MS. PHILION: 11 Q. 12 -- or phrase? 13 MR. MURPHY: Objection. 14 You can answer. 15 A. Yes. 16 BY MS. PHILION: 17 Was this based on any set of formal Q. 18 criteria other than what you have just 19 described? 20 A. No. 21 Q. Have you documented the criteria 22 you used to assess positive and negative 23 comments anywhere? 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Is that in the memo that you

Page 131

1 at, it is possible. 2 Q. What, in your education and 3 experience, informs your conclusion about 4 whether a comment is positive or negative? 5 I just think that a long time in academic training and 40 years as a human 6 7 resources manager and instructor, I have seen thousands of performance reviews before this 8 9 case, and it is a specialized skill to do 10 this volume of analysis, but it is not, I 11 think, terribly difficult to look at a phrase 12 and say, "That sounds negative." So my 13 background is, I have done a lot of this 14 before in scattered assignments; not in the 15 intensity I was given here. What is "word salad"? 16 It's a phrase that, I think, comes 17 18 from psychology and politics. It means a 19 series of phrases that have a surface 20 appearance of being about something or 21 addressing some topic and yet, are much 22 closer to random platitudes, which are 23 unrevealing. 24 Q. How did you determine here where a 25 phrase or sentence in a performance

Page 132

1 evaluation was what you concluded was word 2 salad? 3 A. What performance evaluation? 4 Q. So in the year-end performance 5 evaluations that you appended to your report --6 7 A. (Nodding affirmatively). Q. 8 One of the categories you color 9 coded was called "word salad," is that 10 correct? A. There is. 11 12 Q. So what I am trying to 0kav. understand is how the criteria you set for 13 14 determining that something in a year-end 15 performance evaluation was "word salad"? Actually, I found that to be the 16 17 easiest of things to categorize. It's any 18 phrase or sentence or paragraph that does not 19 report the on-field -- the performance of 20 that umpire during that season. It is 21 unrelated to a performance review. 22 Q. How did you determine what was 23 unrelated to a performance review with 24 respect to Major League Baseball umpires? 25 A. Any sentence that did not say --

	Tago ZTI
1	MR. MURPHY: Objection. Asked and
2	answered. You can answer again.
3	A. In order to complete the assignment
4	that I had, to see whether the books were
5	cooked or they were abused in writing their
6	performance reviews, I didn't need to look at
7	anyone else's to determine what happened to
8	them.
9	BY MS. PHILION:
10	Q. How were you able to opine on the
11	effects of the performance evaluation process
12	on these three umpires if you didn't look at
13	the performance evaluations of other umpires
14	outside of their group?
15	MR. MURPHY: Objection. You can
16	answer.
17	A. After weeks and weeks of looking at
18	every single Umpire Evaluation Report
19	submitted on these people, those umpires for
20	six years, I came to the conclusion that
21	their actual excellent performance was not
22	being reported in the year-end review that
23	baseball executives said was the basis of
24	their selections.
25	Leaving out excellent performance

		Page 212
1	from an employee's record is not positive for	
2	their career. It is not neutral for their	
3		
	<u> </u>	
4	Without comparison to anyone else.	
5	MS. PHILION: Thank you,	
6	Dr. Baxter.	
7	So I have no further questions, but	
8	before we go off the record, we are	
9	going to call for the production of the	
10	memorandum regarding your methodology	
11	that you said you created after you	
12	submitted your expert report.	
13	We are also going to call for the	
14	production of any notes that you took in	
15	the process of the analysis in creation	
16	of your expert report.	
17	We are not closing the deposition	
18	and will note that we have requested	
19	those documents from your counsel in	
20	formal document requests, and they were	
21	not provided to us.	
22	MR. MURPHY: That is not correct.	
23	Are you done?	
24	MS. PHILION: Yes.	
25	MR. MURPHY: Okay.	

Page 213

1 **EXAMINATION** 2 3 BY MR. MURPHY: 4 5 Q. Doctor, as you know, I am Kevin Murphy. 6 7 Yes, sir. A. And Jeffrey and I and Nick Gregg 8 Q. 9 represent Angel Hernández. You have been 10 asked a lot of questions about your search terms and wild card terms in aid of your 11 12 review of the MLB records that you examined. 13 By the time you were done, did you 14 read every word of every UER for those six 15 vears for these three umpires? Yes. I did. 16 17 Q. You have been asked about your 18 occasional use in your report of terms such 19 as fair, unfair and ugly. 20 When you use those terms, did you 21 think that those terms were founded or 22 unfounded? 23 A. I thought that the terms were well 24 founded based on my years of experience and 25 seeing the kinds of unsupported comments that

Page 214

1 get performance review writers disciplined 2 and fired. 3 Q. Did your opinion -- do the opinions 4 -- let me start again. 5 Do the opinions that you will provide at the trial in this case depend upon 6 7 any observation in your report that a statement was fair or unfair or ugly? 8 9 MS. PHILION: Objection. 10 If I removed -- if I remove the A. 11 comments that I made, which I think are 12 valid, that those eight or ten comments out 13 of all the things I wrote, if I removed all 14 of that, my findings -- my conclusions and 15 all of my other comments would remain the The author really did leave out 16 17 patterns of excellent performance for six 18 years. BY MR. MURPHY: 19 20 Q. Does your report intend or your 21 trial testimony intend to provide any 22 statistical analysis? 23 I offer no statistical analysis in 24 my report and don't intend to in testimony. 25 In light of the approach that you Q.

	. 460 2.0
1	took, was there any need for you to use a
2	formal coding protocol?
3	A. No. I don't think so.
4	Q. Are the opinions in your report and
5	as expressed here today based upon applying
6	your training, education and decades of
7	experience in human resources?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And as part of that process, did
10	you convert each year-end between 2011 and
11	2016 to an MS Word document?
12	A. I did.
13	Q. Did you then convert the related
14	UERs to a word-searchable Adobe Acrobat
15	document?
16	A. I did.
17	Q. Did you use nuance power PDF
18	standard to do that?
19	A. I did.
20	Q. Did you then place the two
21	documents side by side on your computer
22	screen?
23	A. I did.
24	Q. Did you examine then whether the
25	UERs supported the phrases and words the
1	

1	author chose to write in the year-end?
2	MS. PHILION: Objection.
3	A. Yes. I did.
4	BY MR. MURPHY:
5	Q. If no UER commented on a particular
6	performance component for the full year, did
7	you report that?
8	A. Yes, I did.
9	Q. If the author offered a year-end
10	comment despite the lack of a UER comment for
11	that component, did you specify that it was
12	unsupported by any UER?
13	A. I specified the author's comment
14	was unsupported by UER.
15	Q. And if the author offered a
16	year-end comment which specified a date or a
17	date range, did you search for the UER for
18	that date or date range and components?
19	A. Yes, I did.
20	Q. And did you then examine whether
21	the UER supported the author's comments?
22	A. I first examined whether such a UER
23	existed, and if it existed, then I searched
24	for whether it said what the author later
25	claims it said.

1	Q. And if there was no UER in the
2	record for that date, did you report that as
3	well?
4	A. Yes, I did.
5	Q. If the UER included no comment for
6	that component for that date, did you report
7	that?
8	A. Yes, I did.
9	Q. If the UER included a component
10	I am sorry. Let me start again.
11	If the UER included a comment for
12	that component for that date, did you check
13	whether the words of the UER supported the
14	words the author used in the year-end?
15	A. Yes, I did.
16	Q. And did you report that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Did that require word for word
19	correspondence between the UER and the YE
20	comments?
21	A. I did not require word for word
22	correspondence.
23	Q. For all the on-field components,
24	did you search all UER for each component
25	headings and then scroll down to see whether

Page 218

there were UER comments? 1 2 I did for every one of them. 3 Q. If there are comments like good, 4 great, fine, nice, remarkable, excellent, 5 solid, skillful, what category would you put those comments in? 6 7 I would have noted those as 8 positive comments about that component. 9 Q. "Quick," "energetic," "with 10 energy, " "promptly, " "timely, " "good hustle, " "solid job," "worked hard." 11 12 Are all those commented by you as 13 positive? 14 Α. Those are words actually found in 15 UERs that I added to my search list, and I 16 take them as positive about that performance 17 component. 18 Q. Working square, working in the 19 slot, clear mechanics, with conviction, 20 emphatic, clear, crisp, were those, too, 21 positive comments? 22 Although they are a little closer Α. 23 to baseball jargon, I took them as positive 24 Some of those about crisp, clear comments. 25 and emphatic are usually reserved to the

Page 219

1 section on quality of calls. 2 MR. MURPHY: That's all I have. 3 Thank you. 4 MS. PHILION: I have a couple more 5 questions, Dr. Baxter. BY MS. PHILION: 6 7 Q. Did the testimony you just provided in response to Mr. Murphy's questions 8 9 comprehensively describe the methodology you 10 used in the completion of your expert report? MR. MURPHY: Objection. 11 12 A. No. BY MS. PHILION: 13 What did it leave out? 14 Q. 15 MR. MURPHY: The four hours of 16 testimony. 17 I don't know. I couldn't 18 accurately tell you right now which parts of 19 the process he didn't ask about over the last 20 few minutes. 21 BY MS. PHILION: 22 Q. For the parts that he did ask you 23 about and you just described, are there any 24 steps that Mr. Murphy himself could not have 25 performed?

Page 220

1 A. I would be conjecturing. 2 Q. Well, what parts of that process 3 did you apply expertise to that an ordinary 4 person wouldn't have of what you just 5 testified about in response to Mr. Murphy's Only about that? 6 questions. 7 MR. MURPHY: That was asked and 8 answered. 9 MS. PHILION: Well, no. You just 10 asked those questions, I am asking about 11 this. 12 MR. MURPHY: It's the same question 13 that you asked earlier. BY MS. PHILION: 14 15 Q. With respect to the questions that 16 you just answered that Mr. Murphy asked you, 17 what specific expertise, what steps required 18 specific expertise that an ordinary person 19 doesn't have? 20 A. I am not sure that any single 21 specific step requires special expertise like 22 I have. I think that reviewing hundreds of 23 UERs to detect a pattern of performance by 24 the author and then looking at dozens -- I 25 don't think anybody had over 95 UERs in a

1	year. Looking at 95 UERs to determine what
2	happened, with regard to the proper reporting
3	of that umpire's performance, I don't think
4	the average non-human resources manager could
5	do that. Maybe people with degrees in
6	industrial relations and human resources just
7	have the skills to do it faster and better.
8	You could probably train most people to do
9	it. I don't know.
10	MS. PHILION: No further questions.
11	Thank you for your time today,
12	Dr. Baxter.
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This will
14	conclude video number three and end
15	today's recording of the deposition of
16	Dr. Greg Baxter. We are off the record
17	at 2:25 p.m., March 5, 2020.
18	(Proceedings concluded at 2:25 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	